

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,177		07/22/2003	Jeffrey R. Ireland	0059-1023	6850	
23608	7590	12/15/2006		EXAMINER		
MEDTRONIC MINIMED INC. 18000 DEVONSHIRE STREET				BOUCHELLE, LAURA A		
NORTHRIDGE, CA 91325-1219			. *	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	3763		3763		
				DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>	M							
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summans	10/624,177		IRELAND ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Laura A. Bou		3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex e. cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
. 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u>	eptember 200	<u>16</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•								
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quay	<i>le</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims			•					
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application	l .							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	uirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			·					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
·								
Attachment(s)			:					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/06.	6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/624,177

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 2-16, 19-30, 32, 34-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bonnecaze et al (6579690). Bonnecaze discloses a blood analyte monitoring system substantially as claimed. The system comprises a monitoring device processor, a sensor adapted to provide an output signal as a function of an analyte in the user, a communication circuit, wherein the processor can calculate an amount of fluid to be infused into the users body, an infusion device comprising a drive mechanism in communication with the processor, the device is adapted to be carried on the exterior of the body of the user (Col. 55, lines 25-50, Col. 37, lines 5-25). The monitoring device is a blood glucose strip and the infusion device is an insulin pump (Col. 55, lines 5-25). The device comprises a transmitter and a receiver (Col. 44, lines 9-23). The device comprises a user input (Col. 44, lines 38-45).

Application/Control Number: 10/624,177 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 17, 18, 31, 33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Bonnecaze in view of Lebel et al (US2002/0019606). Claims 17, 8, 31, 33 differ from

Bonnecaze in calling for a clock circuit to monitor date and time, and determine if a prescribed

time period had elapsed. Lebel teaches a ambulatory medical apparatus including a clock circuit

to display date and time and timestamps and historical data and profile start times that allow the

user to monitor the relevant time periods in which the device is operating (Page 20, paragraph

0195, 0196). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

of invention to modify the device of Bonnecaze to include a clock circuit as taught by Lebel so

that the user to monitor the relevant time periods in which the device is operating.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see Pages16-18, filed 9/5/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2-48 under Lebel have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the

rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of

rejection is made in view of Bonnecaze as above.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/624,177

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Laura A. Bouchelle whose telephone number is 571-272-2125.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Meul

Laura A Bouchelle

Art Unit 3763

LAB